IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4294 of 2014
Date of decision: 04.07.2014

Sardari Lal **....Petitioner**

versus

Karam Chand and another **....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Krishan Sehajpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

******

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

This revision petition is for seeking direction to the Executing Court to decide the execution application which is pending since 16.02.2011.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and also perused the record.

The zimni orders reproduced by learned counsel for the petitioner from 12.11.2012 to 01.02.2014 reveals that the case has been adjourned in the presence of counsel for the decree holder/petitioner on "request".

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the case has been adjourned on the request of the judgment debtor and though the adjournment was opposed but it is not so recorded in the orders.

I am not convinced by the theory propounded by learned counsel for the petitioner that the Court has not recorded in the order the opposition made to the adjournment sought by the judgment debtor which could n ot happen in so many adjournments between on 12.11.2012 to

Sharma Jyoti
2014.07.07 17:00
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

01.02.2014. Once the decree holder/petitioner himself is a party in delaying the execution proceedings, he cannot seek any direction from this Court under its supervisory powers provided under Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

July 04, 2014 **(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**
Jyoti 1 **JUDGE**

Sharma Jyoti
2014.07.07 17:00
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh